

## Department of Energy sites suitable for electrokinetic remediation <sup>1</sup>

Dennis J. Kelsh <sup>\*</sup>, Michael W. Parsons

SAIC, 555 Quince Orchard Road, Suite 500, Gaithersburg, MD 20878, USA

---

### Abstract

Department of Energy sites with contaminated soils that are likely to be responsive to electrokinetic remediation are identified, and conditions at 31 of these sites are summarized in a table. Features that make the soils good candidates for electroremediation include low hydraulic permeability and the presence of water-soluble contaminants. Included in the table are brief descriptions of site geology/hydrology; the types and approximate concentrations of contaminants; and telephone numbers of individuals having responsibility for the sites. © 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.

*Keywords:* Department of Energy; Electrokinetic remediation; Contaminated soils

---

### 1. Introduction

The US Department of Energy (DOE) is responsible for a number of sites that are known to have soils contaminated with radioactive and non-radioactive heavy metals, or waste materials from metal processing operations (including organic solvents), or wastes of unknown type, amount, or origin that have accumulated during 50 years of nuclear weapons and energy production. Over 3700 buried waste sites are known, with sizes varying from a few acres to several hundred acres. There are over 5000 sites of uranium mill tailings; several hundred thousand drums containing transuranic (TRU) waste; and several hundred tanks containing millions of gallons of high-level waste (HLW).

Because of the wide diversity of site conditions, contaminant identities and concentrations, and intended use of the reclaimed site, DOE has to have a variety of remediation

---

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>1</sup> Material in this paper was first presented at the Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Special Symposium on Emerging Technologies in Hazardous Waste Management (VII), Atlanta, GA, USA, 17–20 September 1995.

Table 1  
Potential DOE sites for electrokinetic remediation<sup>a</sup>

| Location/Contact  | Site area             | Contamination   | Problem   | Site Characteristics   |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| CA Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory—Iraj Javendal, (510) 486-5686     | Site wide             | <sup>3</sup> H (GW: 31000 pCi l <sup>-1</sup> , soil: 10 pCi g <sup>-1</sup> ); petroleum (GW: LNAPL present; soil: 1600 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ); freon (9800 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) halogenated hydrocarbon (GW: 98 μg l <sup>-1</sup> , soil: 5500 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )<br>CA | Total of seven identified plumes on site. Off-site tritium plume (greatest public concern). LBL is in the middle of the state land. Nuclear waste cannot be disposed here because it is safe not federal land | WT = 6–80 ft; there is an active fault next to the boundary. 90% of the site is low <i>k</i> , 10 <sup>-7</sup> –10 <sup>-9</sup> m s <sup>-1</sup> . There are three main rock types: fractured shale and siltstone (Great Valley); sills—stone, sandstone and mudstone (Orlinda); volcanics (Moraga) |
| CA Stanford Linear Accelerator—Rich Fallejo (510) 637-1639        | Site wide             | VOCs, Pb, PCBs  | Four sites with contaminated GW and two contaminated soil sites (over 200000 cu.ft.) They have completed several interim removal actions of PCB contaminated soils.   | Eocene and Miocene marine sedimentary sandstone, siltstone, and shale up to 4000 ft thick. Upper Pliocene and Pleistocene non-marine silts, sands and gravels up to 1000 ft thick. WT = 4–30 ft<br>bgs. Most GW is in sedimentary rock. Soil is very low <i>k</i><br>N/A                               |
| CO Rulisan—Kevin Leary, (702) 295-0814                            | Storage pit           | Metals and petroleum  | Drilling mud storage pit contaminated with metals and petroleum hydrocarbon. Baseline technology is soil washing.   |  |
| ID Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Adam Owen (208) 526-9987 | ICPP—WAG 3, OU3—13    | <sup>90</sup> Sr (GW: peak of 516000 pCi l <sup>-1</sup> ), Np, Tc-99, tritium  | Perched water contamination in sedimentary interbeds at 110 ft  | Vadose zone: sandy loam (60 ft) over sand (90 ft) over silt (50 ft) over sand (200 ft)   |
| IL Argonne National Laboratory—Yvette Collazo                     | 317 area/319 landfill | TCE, TCA, DCE, tritium and acetone  | Contaminated groundwater exists in glacial till to a depth of at least 40 ft. DNAPLs and LNAPLs are suspected.  | Low <i>k</i> layer (silty clay glacial till) overlaying high <i>k</i> zone (dolomite)  |
| KS Kansas City plant—D. Brown (816) 997 4034                      | ADS 1028              | TCE, DCE, dichlorobenzene, petroleum, PCBs  | Soil and contamination  | WT = 7–15 ft. There is approximately 40 ft of unconsolidated clayey silt alluvium overlying shale and sandstone bedrock  |

|    |   |                            |  |   |   |
|----|---|----------------------------|--|---|---|
| KS | Kansas City plant—D. Brown, (816) 997-4034    | Plating building petroleum | TCE, PCE, DCE, PCBs,   | DNAPLs are suspected at this site   | WT = 7–15 ft. There is approximately 40 ft of unconsolidated clayey silt alluvium overlying shale and sandstone bedrock   |
| KS | Kansas City plant—Joe Baker, (816) 997-7332   | ADS 1038                   | TCE, DCE, jet/diesel fuel, PCBs                                | Chlorinated solvents contaminate both soil and groundwater, others only are found in soil   | WT = 7–15 ft. There is approximately 40 ft of unconsolidated clayey silt alluvium overlying shale and sandstone bedrock   |
| KS | Kansas City plant—Joe Baker, (816) 997-7332   | ADS 1046 zene,             | TCE, DCE, chloroethene, benzene, petroleum, PCE, Toluene, PCBs | Contaminant exist in multiple hotspots due to numerous spills/leaks   | WT = 7–15 ft. There is approximately 40 ft of unconsolidated clayey silt alluvium overlying shale and sandstone bedrock   |
| KS | Kansas City plant—Mike Stites, (816) 997-7192 | ADS 1048                   | TCE, DCE, chloroethene, petroleum                              | Contamination of soil and groundwater beneath and adjacent to a building  | WT = 7–15 ft. There is approximately 40 ft of unconsolidated clayey silt alluvium overlying shale and sandstone bedrock   |
| KS | Kansas City plant—Mike Stites, (816) 997-7192 | Department 26              | TCE, DCE, PCBs, petroleum                                      | Contamination present in soil beneath a building  | WT = 7–15 ft. There is approximately 40 ft of unconsolidated clayey silt alluvium overlying shale and sandstone bedrock   |
| KY | Paducah—Ross Miller, (502) 441-5088           | Northeast plume            | TCE, <sup>99</sup> Tc  | Seven tentative sources for the NE plume have been identified, including spills associated with the C400 building. DNAPLs are confirmed within both the vadose and saturated zones at the C400 building | Hydrogeologic units listed in descending order (Unit 1—surface, Unit 5—at depth). Unit 1: loess (5 ft); Unit 2: clayey silt (15 ft); Unit 3: sand and gravel lenses (5 ft); Unit 4: clayey silt (25 ft); Unit 5: gravel (30 ft) |
| KY | Paducah—Ross Miller, (502) 441-5088           | Northwest plume plume      | TCE, <sup>99</sup> Tc  | DNAPLs: sources of this plume are believed to be WAGs 7 and 30 and Building C400  | Hydrogeologic units listed in descending order (Unit 1—surface, Unit 5—at depth). Unit 1: loess (5 ft); Unit 2: clayey silt (15 ft); Unit 3: sand and gravel lenses (5 ft); Unit 4: clayey silt (25 ft); Unit 5: gravel (30 ft) |

Table 1 (continued)

| Location/Contact   | Site area                               | Contamination   | Problem   | Site Characteristics  |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| NM<br>Sandia National Laboratory—Cynthia Ardito (505) 246-1600 | Chemical waste landfill                 | TCE (up to 1000 ppm), PCBs, chromium                    | DNAPLs (TCE, PCBs) are present at this site. Chromium plume extends down to 75 ft and TCE to below 485 ft   | Low to high <i>k</i> zone complicated by fault blocks. Very deep vadose zone, WT = 485 ft   |
| NV<br>Nevada test site—John Hall, (702) 295-5855               | Site wide                               | Large variety of radionuclides                          | <sup>90</sup> Sr has contaminated a shallow alluvial aquifer to a depth of 20 ft and an extent of 8-9 acres.  | Low to high <i>k</i> zone overlying high <i>k</i> zone (carbonate) Shallow water table. Unit 1: sand and gravel alluvium 10–50 ft thick; Unit 2: Lavery till, silty clay unit that ranges up to 80 ft thick and is an effective low <i>k</i> aquitard |
| OH<br>Fernald—Robert Janke, (513) 648-3214                     | OU 5                                    | Uranium (up to 10 000 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> in soils), Th | Need technology to treat soils with concentrations above the waste acceptance criteria (1030 ppm) for on site disposal  | Glacial overburden is 0–50 ft of clay-rich till material with discontinuous lenses of coarser-grained material within the fine-grained matrix   |
| OH<br>Mound—Ron (513) 865-3548                                 | Miami-Erie Canal—OU 4                   | <sup>238</sup> Pu (max. 4000 pCi g <sup>-1</sup> )      | In 1969, as a result of heavy rainfall, runoff water containing significant quantities of Pu was discharged into the Miami-Erie Canal. App. 25 000 yds of soil located within the sides and bottom along a 1 mile reach of the canal was contaminated with Pu | The Miami-Erie Canal is located in the floodplain of the Miami River. When constructed 200 years ago, the base of the canal was covered with clay layer several feet thick (where the Pu is concentrated)   |
| OH<br>Mound—Gary Coons, (513) 865-3867                         | SM/PP Hill and 'New' property area—OU 5 | <sup>238</sup> Pu, Th                                   | Soil contamination is a result of surface spills and leaks. > 1 million cu ft of contaminated soils in OU 5 and 6   | Contaminated soils (clay?) overlies interbedded limestone and shale   |
| OH<br>Mound—Joe Geneczko, (513) 865-3677                       | Soil under D&D sites—OU 6               | <sup>238</sup> Pu, Th                                   | Soil contamination associated with buildings that will be undergoing D&D  | Contaminated soils (clay?) overlies interbedded limestone and shale   |

|    |   |                                  |  |  |  |
|----|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| OH | Portsmouth—Frank Anderson, (614) 987-2241     | X-231B source and plume          | TCE ( $1.8 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ), $^{99}\text{Tc}$ ( $57 \text{ pCi l}^{-1}$ ), DCE, U, TCA, BTX, and metals                | Site is requesting in-situ treatment methods for contaminated clay soil in both saturated conditions saturated conditions  | Hydrogeologic units listed in descending order (Unit 1—surface, Unit 5—at depth). Unit 1: silty clay, 25 ft thick; Unit 2: clayey sand, 3–5 ft thick; Unit 3: fractured shale, competent at base 10 ft thick; Unit 4: sandstone; Unit 5: shale |
| OH | Portsmouth—Frank Anderson, (614) 897-2241     | X-701B pond source and plume     | TCE ( $800 \text{ } \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ ), $^{99}\text{Tc}$ ( $450 \text{ pCi l}^{-1}$ ), PCBs ( $200 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ) | X-701B holding pond received discharges from a number of facilities. Leachate from the pond has contaminated the Gallia at a depth of 30 ft and created a plume that migrates toward a stream near the boundary of the plant. DNAPL is TCE,PCB | Hydrogeologic units listed in descending order (Unit 1 surface, Unit 5—at depth). Unit 1: silty clay, 25 ft thick; Unit 2: clayey sand, 3–5 ft thick; Unit 3: fractured shale, competent at base 10 ft thick; Unit 4: sandstone; Unit 5 shale  |
| OH | Portsmouth—Frank Anderson, (614) 897-2241     | X-749 landfill groundwater plume | TCE ( $4 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ), other VOCs, $^{99}\text{Tc}$ ( $633 \text{ pCi l}^{-1}$ ), metals                           | Site is requesting groundwater manipulation and treatment methods with a focus on thin aquifers of low hydraulic conductivity contaminated with VOCs and rads. The plume at this site is ~ 70 acres  | Hydrogeologic units listed in descending order (Unit—surface, Unit 5—at depth). Unit 1: silty clay, 25 ft thick; Unit 2: clayey sand, 3–5 ft thick; Unit 3: fractured shale, competent at base 10 ft thick; Unit 4: sandstone; Unit 5: shale   |
| SC | Savannah River site—J. Horvath (813) 644-6853 | A/M ground water                 | TCE, PCE, TCA, oils, PCBs  | Four DNAPL sources located, large plume extends over 2 miles   | Heterogeneous geology, sandy aquifers with thick clay confining units  |
| SC | Savannah River site—Guy (644-6788)            | TNX area Standard (813)          | TCE, $\text{CCl}_4$ , Hg and low levels of radionuclides   | Includes a number of basins: Met Lab, Old TNX, and New TNX   | Heterogeneous geology, sandy aquifers with thick clay confining units  |
| TN | K-25—Gary Bodenstein, (423)576-9429           | Site wide                        | TCE, PCBs, U, $^{99}\text{Tc}$   | DNAPLs and LNAPLs are present at this site. Three plumes present at this site; plume sources are the K-1070 CD landfill, a gas station and the K-1420 decon facility   | Disturbed geology. site was leveled to ease construction (Unit 1—surface, Unit3—at depth). Unit 1: 40ft of clayey soil; Unit 2: 10 ft of competent bedrock; Unit 3: high flow karst system   |

Table 1 (continued)

| Location/Contact                              | Site area                       | Contamination   | Problem  | Site Characteristics  |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| TN<br>Oak Ridge—David Page, (423) 576-1357    | Lower East Fork of Poplar Creek | Mercuric sulfide (2–2000 ppm)                                   | This creek flows into the Clinch River. It has been a problem because of mercury contamination which is in the floodplain soil from Upper East Fork of Poplar Creek and Y-12. The Hg is not in the GW                    | Floodplain sediments  |
| TN<br>Y-12—Steve Hasse, (423) 241-5258        | Ash disposal basin—OU 2         | Heavy metals and U  | Site consists of a filled coal ash pond that may impact surface and groundwater and stream sediments   | Vadose zone consists of silty and clay-like soil underlain by fractured dolomite                                      |
| TN<br>Y-12—Steve Hasse, (423) 241-5258        | Chestnut Ridge security pits—   | Acids, Be, biological material, heavy metals, inorganics, organ | Site consists of 30–40 pits containing 3950 tons of waste material. 1988. VOCs have reached groundwater but metals, rads, Be and PCBs are still near the pits  | Vadose zone consists of silty and clay-like soil underlain by fractured dolomite                                      |
| TN<br>Y-12—Donna Bennett, (423) 574-5839      | Poplar Creek watershed          | Hg, organics, nitrates, heavy metals and U                      | Site consists of multiple sources and is underlain by a very difficult hydrogeologic setting. Current opinions are that complete remediation is intractable and economically infeasible                                  | Contamination is in the lower permeability sediments (less than 100 ft) and in deep (500 ft) karst carbonate deposits |
| TX<br>Pantex plant—Johnny eems (806) 477-6856 | HE/rad sites in Zones 11 and 12 | U, and possibly other rads, high explosive residues             | Depleted uranium and possibly other radionuclides are present in surface and near-surface soils. Soil contamination from these activities occurs in the testing areas but also occurs in Playa #1 and associated ditches | The uppermost geologic unit is a clayey silt which is up to 100 ft thick  |

<sup>a</sup>Data and information contained in this table were compiled from refs. [1–3].

technologies to choose from, and these technologies need to be well understood in terms of their applicability, effectiveness, and cost. DOE is interested in technologies that will economically and effectively clean up waste sites in such a manner that these problems will not have to be revisited.

An emerging remediation technology that may be useful at certain DOE sites involves application of direct current between electrodes inserted in the soil, and the consequent effects on soil contaminants. These 'electrokinetic' effects include electroosmosis (the movement of pore water through the soil by virtue of the applied electric field); electrolytic conduction of ions ('electromigration'); and the generation of chemical species by the electrode reactions, particularly  $H^+(aq.)$  or  $OH^-(aq.)$ , that can affect the solubility and speciation of contaminants and other soil constituents.

The technology is particularly well suited for removing contaminants from soils of low hydraulic conductivity, e.g. clay and silty clay. Electroosmosis and electromigration are properties that are independent of hydraulic conductivity; these mechanisms can therefore transport water and ions through soils that are practically impermeable to hydraulic transport. Conversely, the electric field will have a negligible impact on water flow through coarse sand where hydraulic resistance is low; highly permeable media are more efficiently cleaned by hydraulic flushing than by electrokinetics, and the electric field will not be able to control hydraulic leakage of contaminants from very permeable media to regions outside the treatment zone.

Soils that may be responsive to in-situ cleanup by electrokinetics have the following characteristics:

- low hydraulic conductivity;
- water-soluble contaminants (poorly soluble contaminants may require addition of reagents to enhance solubility, e.g., carbonate for uranium, or surfactants for free-phase organics);
- relatively low concentrations of ionic materials in the water (essential for electroosmosis; needed for good efficiency of power use for electromigration).

DOE sites and contaminants have been identified in a database from which a candidate list of sites for electrokinetic remediation can be inferred. Characterization of these sites is often incomplete, however, and further evaluation of site geology as well as contaminant distributions and concentrations will often be required. This is especially true for sites having heterogeneous media and/or multiple contaminants.

Soils of low permeability are prevalent at the following DOE locations: Fernald, OH; Kansas City, MO; Mound, OH; Oak Ridge, TN; Portsmouth, OH; and Paducah, KY.

Discrete layers or isolated pockets of low-permeability soil can be found at DOE sites near: Albuquerque, NM; Idaho Falls, ID; Berkeley, CA; West Valley, NY; Livermore, CA; Savannah River (Aiken), SC; Los Alamos, NM; and Pantex (Amarillo), TX.

Notably absent from these lists is the Hanford site near Richland, WA. High transmissivity and permeability characterize the soil and subsurface in all but a few rare (and small) locales of the Hanford site. Soil washing (an ex-situ process) would presumably clean soil faster and more cost effectively than electrokinetics, and without risk of spreading the contamination through hydraulic leakage.

Information is compiled in Table 1 for DOE sites that appear to be good candidates for in-situ electrokinetic remediation. The data include brief descriptions of site geology

and hydrology, the types and approximate concentrations of contaminants, the extent of pollution, and telephone numbers of individuals having responsibility for that site. Some sites may have remediation plans already in place, or are under regulatory pressure to finalize their choice of cleanup technology and schedule.

Innovative technologies such as electrokinetics have to be backed by convincing cost and performance data in order to compete effectively in the remediation marketplace. DOE has sponsored a number of technology development projects in electrokinetic remediation, and two different in-situ field demonstrations took place at DOE sites in 1996:

- Paducah, KY, gaseous diffusion plant: LASAGNA™ remediation of TCE plume (Monsanto, DuPont, and General Electric);
- Sandia chemical waste landfill, Albuquerque, NM: chromate plume in arid soil (Sandia National Laboratory).

### **Acknowledgements**

The information in this paper was gathered in support of the DOE Contaminant Plumes Containment and Remediation Focus Area, Office of Environmental Management (EM). We gratefully acknowledge the encouragement and guidance provided by Jeffrey S. Walker, EM-50 Program Manager, and the assistance of Jeff Rhodes, SAIC, who prepared the tabulated information for this report.

### **References**

- [1] Letter reports of site visits made by representatives of the DOE Plumes Focus Area, Jim Wright, Manager (1995).
- [2] Department of Energy, *Technology Needs Crosswalk Report*, TAL022, Volumes 1–3, Albuquerque, NM, 1993.
- [3] Grand Junction Projects Office, *Technology Needs Assessment Final Report*, DOE/ID/12584-92, Volumes 1 and 2, US Department of Energy, 1991.